1	Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514) shaun@setarehlaw.com H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834) scott@setarehlaw.com		
2			
3	SETAREH LAW GROUP 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907		
4	Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: (310) 888-7771		
5	Facsimile: (310) 888-0109		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARTHA VAUGHN		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARTHA VAUGHN, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:16-cv-04633-TEH	
12	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
13	V.	JOINT STIPULATION TO DISMISS	
14	COACH, INC, DBA COACH	PLAINTIFF'S THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (BREACH OF CONTRACT); [PROPOSED]	
15	LEATHERWARE CALIFORNIA INC., a Maryland corporation; and DOES 1-50 inclusive,	ORDER THEREON	
16	Defendants.	Action Filed: June 29, 2016	
17		Date of Removal: August 12, 2016	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Case No.: 3:16-cv-04633-TEH	Page 1 Vaughn v. Coach, Inc. dba Coach Leatherware	

JOINT STIPULATION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (BREACH OF CONTRACT); [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON

California Inc.

2.7

## TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

This Stipulation is made by and between Plaintiff MARTHA VAUGHN ("Plaintiff") and Defendant COACH, INC. DBA COACH LEATHERWARE CALIFORNIA INC. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"), through their respective counsel of record, with reference to the following facts:

- 1. On November 28, 2016, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") that alleged, as the Third Claim for Relief, a cause of action for Breach of Contract. The Third Claim for Relief alleged that stock options were not provided to Plaintiff and a putative sub-class identified as the Stock Options Sub-Class.
- On April 27, 2017, Defendant provided an explanation and supporting documentation demonstrating that Plaintiff has no valid claims related to any stock plans offered to employees by Defendant.
- 3. Based on the explanation and supporting documentation supplied by Defendant, Plaintiff has agreed to dismiss the Third Claim for Relief with prejudice as to her individual claims arising thereunder and without prejudice as to the putative class members, with all Parties to bear their own fees and costs as to the Third Claim for Relief.
- 4. The Parties therefore stipulate, pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to dismiss the Third Claim for Relief with prejudice as to Plaintiff and without prejudice as to the putative class members.
- 5. Because no class has been certified in this action, the Court's approval of this dismissal is not additionally required pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e).

Based on the foregoing facts, the Parties Stipulate as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract in the FAC, in her individual capacity, is dismissed *with* prejudice;
- 2. Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract in the FAC, on behalf of the putative sub-class, is dismissed *without* prejudice;

Case No.: 3:16-cv-04633-TEH Page 1 Vaughn v. Coach, Inc. dba Coach Leatherware

California Inc.

1	3. All parties shall bear their own fees and costs as to the Third Claim for Relief for			
2	Breach of Contract in the FAC.			
3	IT IS SO STIF	PULATED.		
4				
5			Respectfully submitted,	
6	Dated: June 13	3, 2017	SETAREH LAW GROUP	
7		D	y /a/II Coott I avient	
8		Б	y: <u>/s/ H. Scott Leviant</u> Shaun Setareh H. Scott Leviant <sup>1</sup>	
9   10			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	Dated: June 13	3, 2017	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
12		B	y: /s/ Jonathan Christie, by permission	
13		5.	Gregory W. Knopp Jonathan P. Slowik	
14			Jonathan S. Christie	
15			Attorneys for Defendant COACH, INC.,	
16			COACH, INC.,	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>				
27				
28	<sup>1</sup> Pursuant from each of f	<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.		
	nom each of the other signatures.			

Page 2

Vaughn v. Coach, Inc. dba Coach Leatherware

Case No.: 3:16-cv-04633-TEH

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

\_

 Dated: 6/14/17

Hon. Thelton E. Henderson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case No.: 3:16-cv-04633-TEH

Page 3

Vaughn v. Coach, Inc. dba Coach Leatherware California Inc.